

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/762,513	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BONSEL, HARALD	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert Hodge	<b>Art Unit</b> 1746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert Hodge.

(2) Richard Beck.

**Date of Interview:** 4 October 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:50 AM

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed that claim 1 be further limited by claiming a resevoir in the structural edge. The attorney counter proposed that the claim be amended by adding "and the product water is between the seal and the membrane" The attorney's proposal will be entered by examiner's ammendment.